

99

Next, the Examiner noted that claims 1-30 avoid the prior art of record, and in response to remarks set forth in the previous Amendment and Response, the Examiner commented on the configuration of the foot paths shown in the subject application. Applicant appreciates the finding of allowability and is content to allow the specification and drawings to speak for themselves.

Finally, the Examiner objected to the disclosure and indicated that the specification should be amended to refer to the generally elliptical path recited in the claims. Applicant has amended the specification accordingly.

The subject application is now believed to be in condition for allowance. If there any remaining issues or any new issues subsequently arise, Applicant's undersigned representative would appreciate an opportunity to discuss same.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark A. Krull Reg. No. 34,205

1705 East Ridge Court Northfield, MN 55057 (507) 645-1605